UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,627	12/28/2004	Michael Schlereth	2002P08760WOUS	7845	
Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			EXAMINER		
			KIM, HEE SOO		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2157		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/27/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
SCHLERETH, MICHAEL		
Art Unit		
2157		
	SCHLERETH, MICH	

	HEE SOO KIM	2157	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE r).	g date of the final rejection. E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a	ı
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered because	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying the issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		impliant / the nament (1 1 of 024).	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendment canceling the	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None		ll be entered and an explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <i>28-32 and 34-46</i> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Ario Etienne/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2157			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment require further search and consideration by Examiner. Specifically, claim 1 is directed to communications between automation devices, however Examiner cannot determine (1) whether the participating device sends or receive requests; and (2) who or what is sending the address by the automation devices to the device that submitted the request. Furthermore, Applicant's amended portion now recites each of the automation device forwards each request to all other devices as opposed to ALL of the devices forwarding each requests to all other devices.